

# EPIDEMIOLOGY BULLETIN

C.M.G. Buttery, M.D., M.P.H., Commissioner Grayson B. Miller, Jr., M.D., Epidemiologist

Editor: Carl W. Armstrong, M.D.

October, 1987

Volume 87, Number 10

## **Revised Case Definition For AIDS**

Editor's note: Pursuant to a recommendation by the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE), effective September 1, 1987 the Virginia Department of Health and the Centers for Disease Control adopted the following revised case definition for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Physicians may wish to insert this issue into the AIDS Manual for Virginia Physicians, which was published by the Medical Society of Virginia and the Virginia Department of Health and sent to all Virginia physicians earlier this year.

## Introduction

The following revised case definition for surveillance of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) was developed by CDC in collaboration with public health and clinical specialists. The Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) has officially recommended adoption of the revised definition for national reporting of AIDS. The objectives of the revision are a) to track more effectively the severe disabling morbidity associated with infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (including HIV-1 and HIV-2);

b) to simplify reporting of AIDS cases; c) to increase the sensitivity and specificity of the definition through greater diagnostic application of laboratory evidence for HIV infection; and d) to be consistent with current diagnostic practice, which in some cases includes presumptive, i.e., without confirmatory laboratory evidence, diagnosis of AIDS-indicative diseases (e.g., Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, Kaposi's sarcoma).

The definition is organized into three sections that depend on the status of laboratory evidence of HIV infection (e.g., HIV antibody) (Figure 1). The major proposed changes apply to patients with laboratory evidence for HIV infection: a) inclusion of HIV encephalopathy, HIV wasting syndrome, and a broader range of specific AIDS-indicative diseases (Section II.A); b) inclusion of AIDS patients whose indicator diseases are diagnosed presumptively (Section II.B); and c) elimination of exclusions due to other causes of immunodeficiency (Section I.A).

Application of the definition for children differs from that for adults in two ways. First, multiple or recurrent serious bacterial infections and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia are accepted as indicative of AIDS among children but not among adults. Second, for children < 15 months of age whose mothers are thought to have had HIV infection during the child's perinatal period, the laboratory criteria for HIV infection are more stringent, since the

Continued to page 2



## Continued from page 1

presence of HIV antibody in the child is, by itself, insufficient evidence for HIV infection because of the persistence of passively acquired maternal antibodies < 15 months after birth.

The new definition is effective immediately. State and local health departments are requested to apply the new definition henceforth to patients reported to them. The initiation of the actual reporting of cases that meet the new definition is targeted for September 1, 1987, when modified computer software and report forms should be in place to accommodate the changes. CSTE has recommended retrospective application of the revised definition to patients already reported to health departments. The new definition follows:

## 1987 Revision of Case Definition for AIDS for Surveillance Purposes

For national reporting, a case of AIDS is defined as an illness characterized by one or more of the following "indicator" diseases, depending on the status of laboratory evidence of HIV infection, as shown below.

## I. Without Laboratory Evidence Regarding HIV Infection

If laboratory tests for HIV were not performed or gave inconclusive results (See Appendix I) and the patient had no other cause of immunodeficiency listed in Section I.A below, then any disease listed in Section I.B indicates AIDS if it was diagnosed by a definitive method (See Appendix II).

A. Causes of immunodeficiency that disqualify diseases as indicators of AIDS in the absence of laboratory evidence for HIV infection

> high-dose or long-term systemic corticosteroid therapy or other immuno-suppressive/cytotoxic therapy ≤ 3 months before the onset of the indicator disease

> any of the following diseases diagnosed ≤ 3 months after diagnosis of the indicator disease: Hodgkin's disease, non

Hodgkin's lymphoma (other than primary brain lymphoma), lymphocytic leukemia, multiple myeloma, any other cancer of lymphoreticular or histiocytic tissue, or angioimmunoblastic lymphadenopathy

 a genetic (congenital) immunodeficiency syndrome or an acquired immunodeficiency syndrome atypical of HIV infection, such as one involving hypogammaglobulinemia

# B. Indicator diseases diagnosed definitively (See Appendix II)

- candidiasis of the esophagus, trachea, bronchi, or lungs
- 2. cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary
- cryptosporidiosis with diarrhea persisting > 1 month
- 4. cytomegalovirus disease of an organ other

Figure 1. Flow diagram for revised CDC case definition of AIDS, September 1, 1987 Laboratory evidence of HIV Infection (Appendix I) Unknown or **Positive** Negative Inconclusive Has any disease Are there other in Sections I.B causes of or II.A been immunodefinitively deficiency diagnosed (Section I.A) (Appendix II) YES YES NO NO Not a Case Case Has Pneumo-Has any disease in Section II.B cystis carinii been pneumonia been presumptively definitively diagnosed diagnosed (Appendix II) (Appendix III) YES NO NO Case Not a Case Has any other Are there other disease in causes of Section I.B been immunodefinitively deficiency diagnosed (Section I.A) YES (Appendix II) NO YES NO Not a Case Not a Case Has any disease Is the T-helper in Section I.B lymphocyte been definitively count diagnosed <400/mm<sup>3</sup> (Appendix II) NO NO YES YES Not a Case Not a Case AIDS

- than liver, spleen, or lymph nodes in a patient > 1 month of age
- 5. herpes simplex virus infection causing a mucocutaneous ulcer that persists longer than 1 month; or bronchitis, pneumonitis, or esophagitis for any duration affecting a patient > 1 month of age
- Kaposi's sarcoma affecting a patient < 60 years of age</li>

- 7. lymphoma of the brain (primary) affecting a patient < 60 years of age
- 8. lymphoid interstitial pneumonia and/or pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia (LIP/PLH complex) affecting a child < 13 years of age
- Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii disease, disseminated (at a site other than or in addition to lungs, skin, or cervical or hilar

lymph nodes)

10. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia

progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy

12. toxoplasmosis of the brain affecting a patient1 month of age

# II. With Laboratory Evidence for HIV Infection

Regardless of the presence of other causes of immunodeficiency (I.A), in the presence of laboratory evidence for HIV infection (See Appendix I), any disease listed above (I.B) or below (II.A or II.B) indicates a diagnosis of AIDS.

A. Indicator diseases diagnosed definitively (See Appendix II)

1. bacterial infections, multiple or recurrent (any combination of at least two within a 2year period), of the following types affecting a child < 13 years of age: septicemia, pneumonia, meningitis, bone or joint infection, or abscess of an internal organ or body cavity (excluding otitis media or superficial skin mucosal abscesses), caused by Haemophilus, Streptococcus (including pneumococcus), or other pyogenic bac-

 coccidioidomycosis, disseminated (at a site other than or in addition to lungs or cervical or hilar lymph nodes)

3. HIV encephalopathy (also called "HIV dementia," "AIDS dementia," or "subacute encephalitis due to HIV") (See Appendix II for description)

 histoplasmosis, disseminated (at a site other than or in addition to lungs or cervical or hilar lymph nodes)

isosporiasis with diarrhea persisting > 1
month

6. Kaposi's sarcoma at any age

Continued to page 4

# Appendix I Laboratory Evidence For or Against HIV Infection

## 1. For Infection:

When a patient has disease consistent with AIDS:

- a. a serum specimen from a patient ≥ 15 months of age, or from a child < 15 months of age whose mother is not thought to have had HIV infection during the child's perinatal period, that is repeatedly reactive for HIV antibody by a screening test (e.g., enzymelinked immunosorbent assay [ELISA]), as long as subsequent HIV-antibody tests (e.g., Western blot, immunofluorescence assay), if done, are positive; OR
- b. a serum specimen from a child < 15 months of age, whose mother is thought to have had HIV infection during the child's perinatal period, that is repeatedly reactive for HIV antibody by a screening test (e.g., ELISA), plus increased serum immunoglobulin levels and at least one of the following abnormal immunologic test results: reduced absolute lymphocyte count, depressed CD4 (Thelper) lymphocyte count, or decreased CD4/CD8 (helper/suppressor) ratio, as long as subsequent antibody tests (e.g., Western blot, immunofluorescence assay), if done, are positive; OR

c. a positive test for HIV serum antigen; OR

- d. a positive HIV culture confirmed by both reverse transcriptase detection and a specific HIV-antigen test or in situ hybridization using a nucleic acid probe; **OR**
- e. a positive result on any other highly specific test for HIV (e.g., nucleic acid probe of peripheral blood lymphocytes).

### 2. Against Infection:

A nonreactive screening test for serum antibody to HIV (e.g, ELISA) without a reactive or positive result on any other test for HIV infection (e.g., antibody, antigen, culture), if done.

### 3. Inconclusive (Neither For nor Against Infection):

 a. a repeatedly reactive screening test for serum antibody to HIV (e.g., ELISA) followed by a negative or inconclusive supplemental test (e.g., Western blot, immunofluorescence assay) without a positive HIV culture or serum antigen test, if done; OR

b. a serum specimen from a child < 15 months of age, whose mother is thought to have had HIV infection during the child's perinatal period, that is repeatedly reactive for HIV antibody by a screening test, even if positive by a supplemental test, without additional evidence for immunodeficiency as described above (in 1.b) and without a positive HIV culture or serum antigen test, if done.

# Appendix II Definitive Diagnostic Methods for Diseases Indicative of AIDS

## Diseases Definitive Diagnostic Methods

cryptosporidiosis
cytomegalovirus
isosporiasis
Kaposi's sarcoma
lymphoma
lymphoid pneumonia
or hyperplasia
Pneumocystis carinii
pneumonia
progressive multifocal
leukoencephalopathy
toxoplasmosis

microscopy (histology or cytology).

candidiasis

gross inspection by endoscopy or autopsy or by microscopy (histology or cytology) on a specimen obtained directly from the tissues affected (including scrapings from the mucosal surface), not from a culture.

coccidioidomycosis cryptococcosis herpes simplex virus histoplasmosis microscopy (histology or cytology), culture, or detection of antigen in a specimen obtained directly from the tissues affected or a fluid from those tissues.

tuberculosis other mycobacteriosis salmonellosis other bacterial infection

culture

HIV encephalopathy\* (dementia)

clinical findings of disabling cognitive and/or motor dysfunction interfering with occupation or activities of daily living, or loss of behavioral developmental milestones affecting a child, progressing over weeks to months, in the absence of a concurrent illness or condition other than HIV infection that could explain the findings. Methods to rule out such concurrent illnesses and conditions must include cerebrospinal fluid examination and either brain imaging (computed tomography or magnetic resonance) or autopsy.

HIV wasting syndrome\*

findings of profound involuntary weight loss > 10% of baseline body weight plus either chronic diarrhea (at least two loose stools per day for ≥ 30 days) or chronic weakness and documented fever (for ≥ 30 days, intermittent or constant) in the absence of a concurrent illness or condition other than HIV infection that could explain the findings (e.g., cancer, tuberculosis, cryptosporidiosis, or other specific enteritis).

## Continued from page 3

7. lymphoma of the brain (primary) at any age

 other non-Hodgkin's lymphoma of B-cell or unknown immunologic phenotype and the following histologic types:

a. small noncleaved lymphoma (either Burkitt or non-Burkitt type) (See Appendix IV for equivalent terms and numeric codes used in the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification)

b. immunoblastic sarcoma (equivalent to any of the following, although not necessarily all in combination: immunoblastic lymphoma, largecell lymphoma, diffuse histiocytic lymphoma, diffuse undifferentiated lymphoma, or highgrade lymphoma) (See Appendix IV for equivalent terms and numeric codes used in the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification)

Note: Lymphomas are not included here if they are of T-cell immunologic phenotype or their histologic type is not described or is described as "lymphocytic," "lymphoblastic," "small cleaved," or "plasmacytoid lymphocytic"

9. any mycobacterial disease caused by mycobacteria other than M. tuberculosis, disseminated (at a site other than or in addition to lungs, skin, or cervical or hilar lymph nodes)

10. disease caused by M. tuberculosis, extrapulmonary (involving at least one site outside

<sup>\*</sup>For HIV encephalopathy and HIV wasting syndrome, the methods of diagnosis described here are not truly definitive, but are sufficiently rigorous for surveillance purposes.

the lungs, regardless of whether there is concurrent pulmonary involvement)

 Salmonella (nontyphoid) septicemia, recurrent

12. HIV wasting syndrome (emaciation, "slim disease") (See Appendix

II for description)

B. Indicator diseases diagnosed presumptively (by a method other than those in Appendix II)

Note: Given the seriousness of diseases indicative of AIDS, it is generally important to diagnose them definitively, especially when therapy that would be used may have serious side effects or when definitive diagnosis is needed for eligibility for antiretroviral therapy. Nonetheless, in some situations, a patient's condition will not permit the performance of definitive tests. In other situations, accepted clinical practice may be to diagnose presumptively based on the presence of characteristic clinical and laboratory abnormalities. Guidelines for presumptive diagnoses are suggested in Appendix III.

1. candidiasis of the esophagus

cytomegalovirus retinitis with loss of vision

3. Kaposi's sarcoma

4. lymphoid interstitial pneumonia and/or pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia (LIP/PLH complex) affecting a child < 13 years of age

 mycobacterial disease (acid-fast bacilli with species not identified by culture), disseminated (involving at least one site other than or in addition to lungs, skin, or cervical or hilar lymph nodes)

6. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia

7. toxoplasmosis of the brain affecting a patient > 1 month of age

Continued to page 6

## Appendix III

## Suggested Guidelines for Presumptive Diagnosis of Diseases Indicative of AIDS

## Diseases

## Presumptive Diagnostic Criteria

candidiasis of esophagus

 a. recent onset of retrosternal pain on swallowing; AND

b. oral candidiasis diagnosed by the gross appearance of white patches or plaques on an erythematous base or by the microscopic appearance of fungal mycelial filaments in an uncultured specimen scraped from the oral mucosa.

cytomegalovirus retinitis a characteristic appearance on serial opthalmoscopic examinations (e.g., discrete patches of retinal whitening with distinct borders, spreading in a centrifugal manner, following blood vessels, progressing over several months, frequently associated with retinal vasculitis, hemorrhage, and necrosis). Resolution of active disease leaves retinal scarring and atrophy with retinal pigment epithelial mottling.

mycobacteriosis

microscopy of a specimen from stool or normally sterile body fluids or tissue from a site other than lungs, skin, or cervical or hilar lymph nodes, showing acid-fast bacilli of a species not identified by culture.

Kaposi's sarcoma

a characteristic gross appearance of an erythematous or violaceous plaque-like lesion on skin or mucous membrane.

(Note: Presumptive diagnosis of Kaposi's sarcoma should not be made by clinicians who have seen few cases of it.)

lymphoid interstitial pneumonia bilateral reticulonodular interstitial pulmonary infiltrates present on chest X ray for  $\geq 2$  months with no pathogen identified and no response to antibiotic treatment.

Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia a. a history of dyspnea on exertion or nonproductive cough of recent onset (within the past 3 months);

 chest X-ray evidence of diffuse bilateral interstitial infiltrates or gallium scan evidence of diffuse bilateral pulmonary disease; AND

c. arterial blood gas analysis showing an arterial p0<sub>2</sub> of < 70 mm Hg or a low respiratory diffusing capacity (< 80% of predicted values) or an increase in the alveolar-arterial oxygen tension gradient; AND

d. no evidence of a bacterial pneumonia.

toxoplasmosis of the brain a. a recent onset of a focal neurologic abnormality consistent with intracranial disease or a reduced level of consciousness; AND

 b. brain imaging evidence of a lesion having a mass effect (on computed tomography or nuclear magnetic resonance) or the radiographic appearance of which is enhanced by injection of contrast medium; AND

 serum antibody to toxoplasmosis or successful response to therapy for toxoplasmosis.

## Continued from page 5

## III. With Laboratory Evidence Against HIV Infection

With laboratory test results negative for HIV infection (See Appendix I), a diagnosis of AIDS for surveillance purposes is ruled out unless:

- A. all the other causes of immunodeficiency listed above in Section I.A are excluded; AND
- B. the patient has had either:
  - 1. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia diagnosed by a definitive method (See Appendix II); OR
  - a. any of the other diseases indicative of AIDS listed above in Section I.B diagnosed by a definitive method (See Appendix II); AND
    - b. a T-helper/inducer (CD4) lymphocyte count < 400mm<sup>3</sup>.

## Commentary

The surveillance of severe disease associated with HIV infection remains an essential, though not the only, indicator of the course of the HIV epidemic. The number of AIDS cases and the relative distribution of cases by demographic, geographic, and behavioral risk variables are the oldest indices of the epidemic, which began in 1981 and for which data are available retrospectively back to 1978. The original surveillance case definition, based on then-available knowledge, provided useful epidemiologic data on severe HIV disease (1). To ensure a reasonable predictive value for underlying immunodeficiency caused by what was then an unknown agent, the indicators of AIDS in the old case definition were restricted to particular opportunistic diseases diagnosed by reliable methods in patients without specific known causes of immunodeficiency. After HIV was discovered to be the cause of AIDS, however, and highly sensitive and specific HIV-antibody tests became available, the spectrum of manifestations of HIV infection became better defined, and classification systems for HIV infection were developed (2-5). It became apparent that some progressive, seriously disabling, and even fatal conditions (e.g., encephalopathy, wasting syndrome) affecting a substantial number of HIV-infected patients were not subject to epidemiologic surveillance, as they were not included in the AIDS case definition. For reporting purposes, the revision adds to the definition most of those severe non-infectious, non-cancerous HIV-associated conditions that are categorized in the CDC clinical classification systems for HIV infection among adults and children (4, 5).

Another limitation of the old definition was that AIDS-indicative diseases are diagnosed presumptively (i.e., without confirmation by methods required by the old definition) in

Codes

200.0

**Terms** 

Reticulosarcoma

10%-15% of patients diagnosed with such diseases; thus, an appreciable proportion of AIDS cases were missed for reporting purposes (6, 7). This proportion may be increasing, which would compromise the old case definition's usefulness as a tool for monitoring trends. The revised case definition permits the reporting of these clinically diagnosed cases as long as there is laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

The effectiveness of the revision will depend on how extensively HIV-antibody tests are used. Approximately one third of AIDS patients in the United States have been from New York City and San Fran-

## Appendix IV

# Equivalent Terms and International Classification of Disease (ICD) Codes for AIDS-Indicative Lymphomas

The following terms and codes describe lymphomas indicative of AIDS in patients with antibody evidence for HIV infection (Section II.A.8 of the AIDS case definition). Many of these terms are obsolete or equivalent to one another.

## ICD-9-CM (1978)

200.0	lymphoma (malignant): histiocytic (diffuse) reticulum cell sar- coma: pleomorphic cell type or not otherwise specified
200.2	Burkitt's tumor or lymphoma
	malignant lymphoma, Burkitt's type
	ICD-O (Oncologic Histologic Types 1976)
Codes	Terms
9600/3	Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated cell type non-Burkitt's or not otherwise specified
9601/3	Malignant lymphoma, stem cell type stem cell lymphoma
9612/3	Malignant lymphoma, immunoblastic type immunoblastic sarcoma, immunoblastic lymphoma, or immu-
0.000/0	noblastic lymphosarcoma
9632/3	Malignant lymphoma, centroblastic type diffuse or not otherwise specified, or germinoblastic sarcoma: diffuse or not otherwise specified
9633/3	Malignant lymphoma, follicular center cell, non-cleaved diffuse or not otherwise specified
9640/3	Reticulosarcoma, not otherwise specified
	malignant lymphoma, histiocytic: diffuse or not otherwise specified
	reticulum cell sarcoma, not otherwise specified malignant lymphoma, reticulum cell type
9641/3	Reticulosarcoma, pleomorphic cell type
	malignant lymphoma, histiocytic, pleomorphic cell type reticulum cell sarcoma, pleomorphic cell type
9750/3	Burkitt's lymphoma or Burkitt's tumor
	malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated, Burkitt's type malig-


nant lymphoma, lymphoblastic, Burkitt's type

cisco, where, since 1985 < 7% have been reported with HIV-antibody test results, compared with > 60% in other areas. The impact of the revision on the reported numbers of AIDS cases will also depend on the proportion of AIDS patients in whom indicator diseases are diagnosed presumptively rather than definitively. The use of presumptive diagnostic criteria varies geographically, being more common in certain rural areas and in urban areas with many indigent AIDS patients.

To avoid confusion about what should be reported to health departments, the term "AIDS" should refer only to conditions meeting the surveillance definition. This definition is intended only to provide consistent statistical data for public health purposes. Clinicians will not rely on this definition alone to diagnose serious disease caused by HIV infection in individual patients because there may be additional information that would lead to a more accurate diagnosis. For example, patients who are not reportable under the definition because they have either a negative HIV-antibody test or, in the presence of HIV antibody, an opportunistic disease not listed in the definition as an indicator of AIDS nonetheless may be diagnosed as having serious HIV disease on consideration of other clinical or laboratory characteristics of HIV infection or a history of exposure to HIV.

Conversely, the AIDS surveillance definition may rarely misclassify other patients as having serious HIV disease if they have no HIVantibody test but have an AIDS-indicative disease with a background incidence unrelated to HIV infection, such as cryptococcal meningitis.

The diagnostic criteria accepted by the AIDS surveillance case definition should not be interpreted as the standard of good medical practice. Presumptive diagnoses are accepted in the definition because not to count them would be to ignore substantial morbidity resulting from HIV infection. Likewise, the definition accepts a reactive screening test for HIV antibody without confirmation by a supplemental test because a repeatedly reactive screening test result, in combination with an indicator disease, is highly indicative of true HIV disease. For national sur-



veillance purposes, the tiny proportion of possibly false-positive screening tests in persons with AIDS-indicative diseases is of little consequence. For the individual patient, however, a correct diagnosis is critically important. The use of supplemental tests is, therefore, strongly endorsed. An increase in the diagnostic use of HIV-antibody tests could improve both the quality of medical care and the function of the new case definition, as well as assist in providing counseling to prevent transmission of HIV.

References

1. World Health Organization. Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS): WHO/CDC case definition for AIDS. WHO Wkly Epidemiol Rec 1986;61:69–72.

2. Haverkos HW, Gottlieb MS, Killen JY, Edelman R. Classification of HTLV-III/LAV-related diseases [Letter]. J Infect Dis 1985; 152:1095.
3. Redfield RR, Wright DC, Tramont EC. The Walter Reed staging classification of HTLV-III infection. N Engl J Med 1986;314:131-2.

4. CDC. Classification system for human T-lymphotropic virus type III/lymphadenopathy-associated virus infections. MMWR 1986;35:334-9.

5. CDC. Classification system for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in children under 13 years of age. MMWR 1987;36:225–30, 235.

6. Hardy AM, Starcher ET, Morgan WM, et al. Review of death certificates to assess completeness of AIDS case reporting. Pub Hlth Rep 1987;102(4):386-91.

7. Starcher ET, Biel JK, Rivera-Castano R, Day JM, Hopkins SG, Miller JW. The impact of presumptively diagnosed opportunistic infections and cancers on national reporting of AIDS [Abstract]. Washington, DC: III International Conference on AIDS, June 1-5, 1987.

Reprinted from: Centers for Disease Control. Revision of the CDC Surveillance Case Definition for Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome. MMWR 1987;36 (suppl no. 1S):3S-15S. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, Virginia, for the period September 1, through September 30, 1987.

	State				Regions					
Disease	This Month	Last	Total to Date		Mean 5 Year	This Month				
Disease		Month	1986	1987	To Date	N.W.	N.	S.W.	C.	E.
Measles	0	0	60	1	26	0	0	0	0	
Mumps	1	2	35	69	32	1	0	0	0	
Pertussis	3	6	34	47	27	1	1	1	0	
Rubella	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	
Meningitis—Aseptic	38	50	195	178	195	1	7	9	14	
*Bacterial	11	11	188	127	177	1	1	1	2	
Hepatitis A (Infectious)	17	16	88	186	105	6	4	3	3	
B (SERUM)	36	44	362	343	390	6	17	3	7	
NON-A, NON-B	3	5	52	40	62	1	0	1	0	13
Salmonellosis	209	279	1055	1351	1092	25	47	22	79	3
Shigellosis	26	45	67	156	112	10	6	1	5	6
Campylobacter Infections	46	91	443	456	428	13	11	1	9	1
Tuberculosis	31	30	273	327	347	3	5	6	12	QH G
Syphilis (Primary & Secondary)	22	21	279	217	344	1	6	2	8	170
Gonorrhea	1384	1380	14039	11113	14914	-	_	-		1 12
Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever	3	8	46	17	47	1	0	1	1	
Rabies in Animals	24	31	148	285	284	11	4	2	5	
Meningococcal Infections	2	4	59	58	53	0	1	0	0	
Influenza	7	4	3994	1234	1456	0	0	4	0	
Toxic Shock Syndrome	0	0	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	g,
Reye Syndrome	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	
Legionellosis	0	2	14	7	17	0	0	0	0	
Kawasaki's Disease	0	3	22	20	21	0	0	0	0	
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome	23	22	133	173	_	2	7	3	3	

Counties Reporting Animal Rabies: Alleghany 1 skunk; Appomatox 1 bat; Carolina 1 raccoon; Chesterfield 1 raccoon; Culpeper 2 raccoons; Fairfax 1 fox, 1 raccoon; Henrico 2 raccoons; Highland 1 cat, 1 skunk; King William 1 skunk; Lancaster 1 raccoon; Madison 1 raccoon; New Kent 2 raccoons; Orange 1 raccoon; Prince William 2 raccoons; Rockingham 1 raccoon, 1 skunk; Stafford 2 raccoons.

Occupational Illnesses: Poisoning (carbon monoxide) 80; Asbestosis 38; Pneumoconioses 31; Carpal tunnel syndrome 10; Hearing loss 8; Dermatitis 1; Mesothelioma 1; Leukemia (Aml) 1.

\*other than meningococcal

Published Monthly by the VIRGINIA HEALTH DEPARTMENT Office of Epidemiology 109 Governor Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Bulk Rate
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Richmond, Va.
Permit No. 1225